

Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: 2001-I211-1

Short Proposal Title: Bay Delta Learning Initiative

1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes, The problem and needs are clearly stated. Various hypotheses are included or implied within the key audience discussions as well as the direct hypothesis stated in 1.C

1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field] Yes, this proposal follows the well known fact that environmental awareness and knowledge support environmentally responsible behavior.

1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes.

1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field] Yes, the need for this projects “three pronged” approach is clearly stated. The success of similar previous projects is well supported by the attachments.

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field] Yes, the NIS posters and media information web-site should affect future decision making. It is though, I believe, difficult to evaluate Project Wet and other resource materials as to their influence on K-12 learners’ future behavior.

2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Considering the nature and scope of the three components in this proposal, I feel, the proposed evaluation tools are satisfactory.

2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field] Other than data/information collection for the production of the NIS posters, the question does not really apply to the other portions of this proposal.

3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field] Yes

4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field] Yes, the key staff are definitely qualified to continue the activities proposed in this project as well as implement the upgrades for the web-site and produce a new poster for NIS awareness

Miscellaneous comments

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field] I would like to see the stewardship portion of this grant strengthened. i.e. It would be great to have the media and perhaps marina operators be exposed to hands-on demonstrations of proper identification and disposal of the various species in question. Take the poster one step further to the action / stewardship portion of environmental education.

Overall Evaluation	Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating Since this is basically a continuation of previous or very similar projects with proven success, it would be good to see the Water Education Foundation continue and expand their education activities.
Summary Rating	[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]
<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent	
<input type="checkbox"/> Very Good	
X <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good	
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	
<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	